UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,239	11/27/2001	Yumman Chan	CA920000043US1	9665	
45541 HOFFMAN W	7590 11/12/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER			
75 STATE ST 14TH FLOOR		STIBLEY, MICHAEL R			
ALBANY, NY	12207	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3688		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/12/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/995,239	CHAN ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	WICHAEL R. STIBLET	3000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater thán SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reju	solod ciairrio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / inchament (1 102 02-7.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii odbiiiittod iii a ooparate,	amery med ameriamer	it dandeling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	LI NOT I II II II II II	Per 6 11	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce pecause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michael Stibley/	/James W Myhre/		
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3688	Supervisory Patent Exa	miner, Art Unit 3688	1
		,	

Continuation of 11: Applicant's remarks of 10/29/2008 have been considered, although Examiner refers Applicant to the office action of 10/17/2008, as the Examiner is not persuaded in view of these recent remarks. In Applicant's remarks of 10/29/2008, Applicant contends that SKEEN does not teach a decision graph; Examiner refers Applicant to previous office action and in addition notes that SKEEN teaches a Real-time Decision Support System relying on decision trees/graphs for responding to queries. A decision begins with a query and with each possible initial query, this is an entry point in said decision tree/graph. Thus if a decision support system begins with multiple possible queries, it begins with multiple possible entry points. Thus, despite Applicant's contentions, SKEEN teaches Multiple Entry Points. Regardless, It is well known in the art to provide multiple entry points within decision support systems such as decision graphs/trees. Further, while multiple entry points are not expressly disclosed in MATTERN, MATTERN does teach leaps, which can be interpreted as reentry into the decision graph and a re-entry, where re-entry, given broadest reasonable interpretation is an entry. Thus MATTERN, given broad reasonable interpretation also discloses multiple entry points. Applicant further contends that interim solution is not addressed. Examiner refers Applicant to the previous office action and points out once again that MATTERN teaches a series of proposed solutions; The word series, indicates multiple solutions, or a building up of solutions, in which case, there would have to be an interim solution, before the final solution is reached. It is apparent to a person having ordinary skill in the art that Interim Solutions is inherently provided for in MATTERN. Examiner is not persuaded by Applicant's arguments and the Claims are not in a condition of Allowance.